

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 23 MAY 1971R

ISSUE VIII

REVISED 4 DECEMBER 1974

Remimeo
Auditors
Students
Tech & Qual

Basic Auditing Series 10R

RECOGNITION OF RIGHTNESS OF THE BEING

*Taken from the LRH Tape "Good
Indicators" 7 January 1964.*

An auditor's tendency is to look for wrongnesses. He is always trying to find something wrong with the pc. That's the nature of Scientology; we assume that there is something wrong with somebody otherwise he wouldn't be here and be dead in his head, and he would be capable of doing a great deal more than he is doing at the particular moment.

An individual is basically and routinely good, capable of many actions and considerable power.

In the state of a Free Thetan or Native State he is a far more powerful individual than when he's been complicated up.

It's the idea of the additive data to the Thetan. Try to give somebody something he doesn't want and you are going to overthrow his power of choice. His power of choice is the only thing that he had to begin with, which gave him power capability and anything else and that power of choice has been consistently and continuously overthrown by giving him things he didn't want and taking away from him things he didn't want to get rid of back and forth. You get the individual pretty overwhelmed and he goes down in power.

What happened to him actually is he solved something that didn't need solving. There was something he couldn't confront so he solved it and he fixed the solution.

Anytime you fix these solutions, for ever and ever you put the individual down grade. An individual becomes aberrated by additives. His experiences in this universe are usually calculated to degrade and depower him. Now all you have to do is pick up all of these criss-crosses and you return him to power.

Man is an added-to being and everything that has been added to him has decreased his ability to cope. *When you add something to the Being he gets worse.*

We are in the business of deleting wrongnesses from the individual.

Even the Freudian Analyst realized that some additive had been added that should be deleted. So the idea of deleting something to bring about a recovery is not new with us.

Because we are in the business of deleting wrongnesses from the individual we seldom look at rightnesses and that's what's wrong with most auditors. They are so anxious to find

the wrongness - and quite properly - and they never really look at the rightness. If they don't look at the *rightnesses that are present*, then they aren't appreciating the degrees of truth that are present *that can be promoted into more truth.*

In other words they are starting at a level of no truth present all the time so of course they never make any forward progress.

You must realize that there must be truth present and that this truth must be recognized and that this is hand-in-glove a part of auditing - the recognition of the fact that truth is present.

If you only look for *wrongnesses* and only recognize *wrongnesses* then you will never be able to pull anything up a gradient because you won't think you have any *rightnesses* to work with. It just all looks wrong to you.

You have to be able to look at the wrongnesses in order to right them but we also have to be able to look at the rightnesses in order to increase them.

We are only trying to find wrongnesses in order to increase rightnesses, and that's very important. If you have no rightnesses present in a session you will never be able to make any progress of any kind. Progress is built on a gradient scale of rightnesses by which you delete wrongnesses and they drop and fall away.

Therefore, Processing is an action by which wrongnesses can be deleted from the case to the degree that rightnesses are present in the session. You cannot take a case that doesn't have any rightness present and delete a wrongness. So you have to realize that there are rightnesses present and then you increase those rightnesses. That makes it possible for you to pick up the wrongnesses and that's what auditing consists of.

Auditing is a contest of maintaining rightnesses so that we can delete wrongnesses. If you keep on deleting wrongnesses, all the while maintaining and increasing the rightnesses you eventually wind up with a very right being. You are trying to get a right being, therefore if you don't continually encourage right beingness you never wind up with a right being.

You must learn to observe an auditing session. You want your pc to wind up in a right state - in a more native, more capable, less overwhelmed, higher power of choice sort of state. You want him to wind up with more *rightnesses*.

Therefore, if you audit so that you do not encourage and increase *rightnesses* then you won't wind up with a right pc.

The degree of rightness you have present must exceed the wrongness you are going to pick up. It's a proportional action. If you've got as much wrongness in a session as you've got rightness you're not riding on any cushion. It makes a very difficult job of auditing. If you want to pick up this little wrongness, you have to have rightnesses present which are big enough to engulf it. That makes easy auditing.

If the rightnesses in the session are very minor and the problem is a tiny one, there isn't enough rightness in the session to handle the problem and the pc cannot erase it.

THE PC'S ABILITY TO AS-IS OR ERASE IN A SESSION IS DIRECTLY PROPORTIONAL TO THE NUMBER OF GOOD INDICATORS PRESENT IN THE SESSION.

And his inability to cope in a session rises proportionally to the number of bad indicators present in a session.

Any process has its own series of bad indicators. And the bad indicator moves in when the good indicator moves out. So you have to have a primary knowledge of good indicators.

Don't look for bad indicators on and on and on; you'll drive the pc around the bend and suppress the good indicators. What you want to do is know your good indicators for the level you are running so well that when one of them disappears out of the session, your ears go up and you instantly look for the bad indicator. Don't look for the bad indicator until you see the vanishment of the good indicator. Otherwise you're continually prowling around looking for wrongnesses in a session and you keep a pc very upset and you get no auditing done of any kind whatsoever.

Remember this next time you see a pc start to bog and drag and flounder one way or the other. You've got to get the pc's good indicators back in before you can get the pc to handle what you want him to handle.

What influences the attitude of the pc is an ARC Break (that of course is influenced earlier by the Auditor's behavior), or the pc has an overt on the Auditor or the pc has a missed withhold.

An Auditor who never gets in and finds out what is wrong in the session - the reasonable Auditor - messes up pcs like mad.

If all the good indicators are present the Auditor knows he is doing a good job of auditing.

L. RON HUBBARD
FOUNDER